

# Viking CCS Pipeline

9.38 Applicants
Response to Issue 4:
(Construction Programme)
in the Examiniming
Authorities Rule 17 Letter

Document Reference: EN070008/EXAM/9.37

Applicant: Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited, a Harbour Energy Company PINS Reference: EN070008 Planning Act 2008 (as amended) The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 - Regulation 5(2)(q) Date: June 2024





PINS Reference	Document Reference	Document Revision	Date
			11/06/2024



### **Table of Contents**

1	Introduction	ł
1.1	Purpose of this Document	ł
1.2	The DCO Proposed Development	ł
2	The Applicant's response to issue 4 in the Rule 17 letter dated 24 May 2024 .5	5

### **Tables**

Table 2-1: Applicant's response to issue 4 in the Examining Authorities Rule 17 letter dated 24 May
20245

# **1** Introduction

## **1.1 Purpose of this Document**

- 1.1.1 This document has been prepared for the Viking CCS Pipeline (the 'Proposed Development') on behalf of Chrysaor Production (UK) Limited ('the Applicant'), in relation to an application ('the Application') for a Development Consent Order (DCO) that has been submitted under Section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 (PA 2008) to the Secretary of State (SoS) for Energy Security and Net Zero.
- 1.1.2 This document provides the Applicant's responses to Issue 4 of the examining authorities rule 17 letter dated 24 May 2024.

### **1.2 The DCO Proposed Development**

- 1.2.1 The Proposed Development comprises a new onshore pipeline which will transport CO<sub>2</sub> from the Immingham industrial area to the Theddlethorpe area on the Lincolnshire coast, supporting industrial and energy decarbonisation, and contributing to the UK target of Net-Zero by 2050. The details of the Proposed Development can be found within the submitted DCO documentation. In addition to the pipeline, the Proposed Development includes a number of above ground infrastructure, including the Immingham Facility, Theddlethorpe Facility and three Block Valve Stations.
- 1.2.2 A full, detailed description of the Proposed Development is outlined in Environmental Statement (ES) Volume II Chapter 3: Description of the Proposed Development **[APP-045]**.

# 2 The Applicant's response to issue 4 in the Rule 17 letter dated 24 May 2024

2.1.1 This section provides the Applicant's response to issue 4 in the Examining Authorities Rule 17 letter dated 24 May 2024.

#### Table 2-1: Applicant's response to issue 4 in the Examining Authorities Rule 17 letter dated 24 May 2024

Ref	Matter Raised in the Rule 17 letter dated 24 <sup>th</sup> May 2024	Applicant's Response
Issue 4	The Applicant has stated that the construction programme now assumes a starting date in September 2025. The ExA notes that the Applicant intends to submit the Environmental Impact Assessment for the offshore consents "later in 2024" [REP1-017].  • What are the anticipated timeframes for obtaining the offshore consents (i.e. what processes and procedures have to be undertaken and what are the minimum / maximum timeframes for obtaining consent)?	Applicants response: The Applicant has set out within Appendix B of the Cons Statement (Revision A) [REP1-017] a number of other consents and licences is offshore elements of the Viking CCS Project. The regimes for granting the necessary consents to construct the offshore ele- storage project are somewhat different from the DCO or planning regimes. In between the body that review and approves the Environmental Impact Assess carbon dioxide storage permit. The principle consent required is the grant of a carbon dioxide storage permit Authority ("NSTA") under the Energy Act 2008. The NSTA cannot grant a stor place as a storage site until the Offshore Petroleum Regulator for Environmen acting on behalf of the Secretary of State, has reviewed an Environmental Sta can be granted. Under the Offshore Oil and Gas Exploration, Production, Unloading and Stora Assessment) Regulations 2020 ("2020 EIA Regulations"), the Environmental Sta can be granted. Under the Offshore Oil and Gas Exploration, Production, Unloading and Country P approval of the Environmental Statement under the 2020 EIA Regulations diffe process in its consideration and approval stage, and does not have an equival This can therefore facilitate an approval of an Environmental Statement in a q anticipated under the DCO regime. Whilst there is no statutory timescale for a guidance issued by OPRED suggests that it is "good practice to allow as ix meview, although in practice it is usually possible to complete the review proce: period". <sup>2</sup> The guidance goes on to state that it is important that the Environme early, as design changes could lead to a further Environmental Statement beir The Applicant is currently preparing the Environmental Statement for submissis been targeting a submission date of October 2024, however it is considered ill in Q1 of 2025. If this was submitted towards the end of Q1 2025 then, based OPRED, the Applicant would anticipate consent being granted by September 1 At the same time, the Applicant is also preparing the other documents tha

<sup>1</sup> In terms of the Offshore Oil and Gas Exploration, Production, Unloading and Storage (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2020

<sup>2</sup> BEIS OPRED, The Offshore Oil and Gas Exploration, Production, Unloading and Storage (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2020 – A Guide (July 2021, rev 03)

#### nsents and Agreements Position that would be required for the

lements of a carbon capture and In particular, there is a distinction ssment and the body that grants the

hit by the North Sea Transition torage permit to authorise the use of a ent and Decommissioning ("OPRED"), Statement<sup>1</sup> and agreed that a consent

rage (Environmental Impact I Statement will be subject to a period tions in relation to the proposed nent consent regime under the Planning Act 1990. However, the iffers considerably from the DCO valent to an Examination.

quicker timescale that would be r approval by OPRED, however month period for completion of the cess within a three to four month mental Statement is not submitted to eing required.

ssion to OPRED. The Applicant had l likely that this will now be submitted ed on the stated good practice of er 2025.

nake up a Storage Permit Application,

Ref	Matter Raised in the Rule 17 letter dated 24 <sup>th</sup> May 2024	Applicant's Response
		The NSTA can review a draft Storage Permit Application prior to its submission process once the application is formally submitted
		The Applicant intends to submit the Storage Permit Application to the NSTA to Environmental Statement is approved by OPRED. The Applicant would there place by September 2025.
		Once these consents are in place, together with obtaining development conse would allow the Applicant to progress towards taking a final investment decisi
		As noted in Appendix B of the Consents and Agreements Position Statement other consents and agreements that would need to be obtained for the offsho Project, including obtaining a lease, pipeline works authorisation and possibly obtained prior to construction commencing and are not considered a barrier to Environmental Statement for the offshore elements of the project will already
	• Assuming the offshore consenting regime is equivalent or similar to the DCO consent regime in terms of stages and/or timeframes, is it realistic and feasible to predict a start date in September 2025?	Applicants response: As noted above, the consenting regime is not considerer regime in terms of its stages and/or timeframes. The Applicant considers that offshore consents would be in place by 2025, which would allow the Viking Construction of the factors may also influence this, such as delays in the Government sequeries.
		Therefore, whilst it is considered feasible that the key consents would be in p would not necessarily mean that construction started immediately, and it could

sion may expedite the approval

A to allow it to be granted once the erefore anticipate this consent being in

nsent for the onshore pipeline, this cision ("FID").

nt (Revision A) [REP1-017], there are hore elements of the Viking CCS bly a marine licence. These would be r to consent, given that the dy have been approved by OPRED.

ered equivalent to the DCO consent hat it is realistic that the necessary CCS Project to progress towards FID. equencing emitters to the Viking CCS

place prior by September 2025, this build be delayed beyond that.